## NEVADA CONSERVATION CREDIT SYSTEM

## PRE-FIELD SUBMISSION QUALITY ASSURANCE FORM

This form is intended for use by the SETT to ensure that pre-field submissions for proposed credit and debit projects undergo a consistent Quality Assurance (QA) process. This pre-field QA process is intended to ensure project footprints, map units, and transects provided by certified verifiers are assessed by the SETT following the same process prior to the commitment of significant resources to complete field work.

	The pre-field QA process has been to the best of my knowledge.	n completed and	the inform	ation pi	rovided in this	form is accurate
Please mark the applicable QA submission status below.  Acceptable Requires revisions (describe)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Project Name  County State NV  Biologically Significant WAFWA Zone: Unit: Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name	Credit System Administrator Nam	ne Credit	t System P	roject L	ead	Date of Completion
Acceptable Requires revisions (describe)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Project Name  County  Biologically Significant Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name	QA SUBMISSION STATUS					
PROJECT INFORMATION  Project Name  County State NV  Biologically Population Management Unit: Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name	Please mark the applicable QA s	ıbmission status l	pelow.			
PROJECT INFORMATION  Project Name  County State NV  Biologically Population Management Unit: Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name						
Project Name  County  Biologically Population Management Unit:  WAFWA Zone:  Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name	Requires revisi	ions (describe)				
Biologically Significant Management Unit:  PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name		N				
Significant Management Unit: Unit: Unit: Unit: PROJECT PROPONENT INFORMATION  Project Proponent Name	County		State	NV		
Project Proponent Name	WAFWA Zone:	Significant			Managemer	nt
Project Proponent Name	DROJECT DRODONENT I	NEODMATIO	N			
		MFORMATIO	IN			
VERIFIER INFORMATION	Project Proponent Name					
VERIFIER INFORMATION	VERTEER THEORY 1					
	VEKIFIEK INFORMATIO	IN .				

STOP! Have they submitted a completed Credit Site Validation Checklist (for Credit Projects only) and been given the green light to become a Credit Project? If not, ensure the Project meets all criteria to be a desired credit project.

1. For credit projects, confirm that all lands within map units appear to be private lands in the control of the credit producer for private lands credit projects or vice versa. Assess whether the project footprint and map units are the same as previously received information, if applicable. Check water rights status (match permit #s) If changes have been made, please note why.

	note why.	s (materi permit #3) if changes have been made, please
	Confirm with the <u>Secretary of State</u> not, require proof they do.	that the Project Proponent has signatory authority, and if
	1	INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description: Status:
2.	expected and that map units make units make units areas. Assess whether the project for	direct and indirect disturbance areas are delineated as up all sage grouse management category habitat in these ootprint and map units are the same as previously f changes have been made, please note why.
		INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description:
	Action items.	Status:
3.	characterized as meadow and deline	including stringer meadows, springs and seeps, are rated as accurately as possible within the project area. Assess the accuracy of the meadow characterization and
		INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description:
		Status:

4.	of the project. Make note and work	f any existing debit or credit projects in the analysis area with the corresponding SETT Lead on any impacts due to thro is delineated as best as possible (a few minor missed
	,	INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description: Status:
5.	characteristics to understand map usereas. Are heterogeneous meadow delineated? Are areas with signification dominated areas? Please make designed.	RGs, previous fires, slopes, aspects, and other terrain unit delineations and decisions to split or lump specific map units split? How are areas planned for treatments antly more sagebrush cover lumped with cheat grass scriptive notes on delineations and any issues from your are divided by WAFWA zone at minimum. No map unit A zones.
		INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status:	
	Date completed: Notes:	
	Action items:	Description:
		Status:
6.	Review the map units dissolved attraction attraction and the provide comments as appropriate.	ribute table to ensure everything looks as it should.
		INITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
	Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description: Status:
7.	Confirm that the number of transec	ts planned to be sampled follows the guidelines in the

Sufficient sampling should be commensurate with acreage and diversity within each map unit size category.

User's Guide. Provide the verifier with generated and finalized transects.

8.

9.

I	NITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
Completion status: Date completed: Notes: Action items:	Description: Status:
edit projects, confirm that the omitted move forward.	following forms have been received prior to allowing field
I	NITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
Pre-Field Work Submittal Co	ver Page:
Verifier Conflict of Interest Fo	orm:
Credit Site Validation Checkli Signed Waiver and Other Red Information Attached:	
A geodatabase with GIS shape identified by the appropriate conventions for the applicable area, analysis area boundaries habitat categories, map units anthro, proposed PFC reaches final transect numbers:	naming e project es, , current
bit projects, confirm that the forward.	following forms have been received prior to allowing field
I	NITIAL WHEN COMPLETE:
Pre-Field Work Submittal Co	ver Page:
Verifier Conflict of Interest Fo	
Debit Project Review Form w Signed Waiver and Other Red Information Attached:	
A geodatabase with GIS shape identified by the appropriate conventions for the applicabl area, analysis area boundaries habitat categories, map units anthro, and final transect nur	naming e project es, , current

## ISSUE TRACKING AND COMMENTS

List and describe any comments, issues or problems encountered during the Quality Assurance process and changes made from the original submission to ensure a complete and acceptable pre-field submission.

ISSUE DESCRIPTION	ISSUE STATUS/RESOLUTION